

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,231	12/07/2004	Jean-Pierre Wolf	CO/2-22694/A/PCT	4380
324	7590 07/14/2006		EXAMINER	
CIBA SPECI	ALTY CHEMICALS CO	NWAONICHA, CHUKWUMA O		
PATENT DEF		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
540 WHITE PLAINS RD P O BOX 2005			1621	
TARRYTOW	N, NY 10591-9005	DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

!			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/517,231	WOLF ET AL.			
			Examiner	Art Unit			
		(	Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha	1621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statue to reply within the set or extended period for reply wereply received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DAT 37 CFR 1.136( nication. utory period will ill, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>12 Jun</u>	<u>e 2006</u> .				
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	○ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.4-7 and 11-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>3 and 8</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	<u> </u>						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
•	· -		oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the Internation						
* (	See the attached detailed Office action	i for a list of	r the certified copies not receiv	/ea.			
Attachmen	• •		<b>"</b> □····-				
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-21 are pending in the application.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 1, 3 and 8-10 in the reply filed on 6/12/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Applicants reserve the right to file divisional, continuation, or continuation-in-part applications to the non-elected claims.

#### **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Wolf et al., {US 6,399,805 same as GB 2360283 (9/19/01)}.

Art Unit: 1621

Wolf et al. disclose applicants claimed compounds. See column 103, compounds 17 and 18 as shown below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining

obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1621

Claims 1, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolf et al., {US 6,399,805 same as GB 2360283 (9/19/01)}.

Applicant claims the compound of the general formula I and its composition,

formula I

wherein all the variables are as defined in the claims.

## Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (M.P.E.P. §2141.01)

Wolf et al. teach the compound of the general formula II,

formula II

wherein  $Y_1$  is the structure shown below, and  $Y_2$  is a substituted or unsubstituted phenyl group;

Art Unit: 1621

wherein all the other variables are as defined in the specification. See claims 1 and 2 of US 6,399,805.

# Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (M.P.E.P., §2141.02)

Wolf et al. compound differs from the instantly claimed compound in that Wolf et al.'s disclosed compound is a subgenus of applicants claimed compound of general formula I. Specifically, applicants claim the compound of general formula 1, wherein the variables n+m in formula I is 2 as defined in the prior art compound of Wolf et al.

# <u>Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (M.P.E.P..</u> §2142-2143)

The instantly claimed compound of the general formula I would have been suggested to one of ordinary skill because one of ordinary skill wishing to obtain a compound for making a composition with unsaturated compounds for photopolymerization is taught to select the compound and composition from the genus of Wolf et al.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation of success in practicing the instant invention by varying the substituents of the genus of Wolf et al. to arrive at the instantly claimed compounds and its photopolymerizable composition.

Said person would have been motivated to practice the teaching of the reference cited because it demonstrates that compound of general formula II and its composition are

Art Unit: 1621

useful in photopolymerization process. The instantly claimed invention would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha whose telephone number is 571-272-2908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman k. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1621

Art Unit: 1621

THURMAN K PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600
Thurman Page,
Supervisory Patent Examiner,
Technology Center 1600